

# The Weekly Democrat.

Published Every Saturday by  
The Democrat Printing Co.,  
114 THEMIS STREET.

Entered at the Post Office at Cape Girardeau,  
Mo., as second-class matter.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 22, 1888.

The blanket election tickets in this State have been relegated to the rear. Hereafter each party will have its own ticket on a separate slip of paper.

The Republicans of this county are going to drop all little side issues and get together. They will put a winning ticket in the field this year.

Billy for Congressman Vandiver. He is opposed to Civil Service and he will vote with the boys to knock it out. Mr. Vandiver is nobody's tool, if he is a free silver Democrat.

The campaign will open in this State in a few weeks. The politicians are moving around now and before the first day of May they will be getting around pretty lively for an off year.

Dora Richardson has returned to her old, but ambitious lover, and they have kissed and made up. These Kentuckians are a queer people. They separate but they go together again.

We are waiting patiently for some garden seeds from Washington. We have unshakable faith in our Congressman—in fact we know he is a statesman.

Capt. Pease is an applicant for the post-office at Charleston. If he gets the endorsement of John A. Snider he will get there. Capt. Pease is a good man, a good Republican and Mr. Snider should name him as the man for the place.

The editor of the Jackson-Cash-Book says there is more whisky drunk in Cape Girardeau every morning before breakfast than is drunk in Jackson in a whole week. We were not aware of the fact that the Jackson police come down here for their morning bitters.

The Democratic party of this Congressional District sent a telegram to the congressman Vandiver for his views. They need him to. Mr. Vandiver is too busy now to do much. He will make a trip over to consider as soon as Congress adjourns with the material necessary of our new members in good running order.

Rev. Mr. Morris,

says that his little girls breed "with marian very severely, and that when he gave her Stephen's address, he never thinks of having New York for his summer resort without a few bottles, for they always cure his family, and are far superior to whisky."

**Stockholders Meeting.**

Nader is hereby given notice of a meeting of the stockholders of the Ironwood & Northwestern Railroad Co., will be held on the 1st of next month, at the northeast corner of Spanish and Independence streets in the city of Cape Girardeau, Missouri, on Monday, February the 12th, 1888, for the purpose of submitting to said stockholders, for their consideration, a contract for the consolidation of this company with the Cape Girardeau, Blandfield & Southern Railroad Co., and the Cape Girardeau, Hallway Co., and for such other business as may come before said meeting.

DAVID S. BROWN,  
President.

For further information see

Notice of stockholders' meeting to be held on the 1st of next month.

Address:

GEO. W. KREMER,  
Murphyboro, Mo.

jan11dw-wt

**Resolution City Council.**

Whereas, it is the opinion of the Council that Good Hope street from Spring street to Spanish street, should be gravelled and put in condition for public use, therefore be it

**RESOLVED,** That said Good Hope street be gravelled from Sprig to Spanish street, the width and depth to be determined by the street and wharf committee, and to the end that said work may be done, the City Clerk is hereby instructed to publish an ordinance for two consecutive weeks in the newspaper doing the city news.

(Signed) R. F. Blomquist.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was presented, read and adopted at an adjourned regular meeting of the City Council held on Monday evening, January 17th, 1888.

GEO. E. CHAPPEL,  
City Clerk.

January 18, 1888. 2w

**Great Music Offer.**

Send us the names and addresses of three or more performers on the piano or organ together with ten cents in silver or postage and we will mail you ten pieces full sheet music, consisting of popular songs, waltzes, marches, etc., arranged for the piano and organ. Address,

POPULAR MUSIC PUB. CO.,  
Indianapolis, Ind.

## PER CAPITA MONEY.

Do Prices Depend Upon Amount or Upon Kind of Money?

Facts Which Will Help to Dispel the "More Money" Delusion — Some Powers for the Silverite Professors Who Are Conducting the "National Financial School"—Why are Prices High and Per Capita Money Low in Silver Standard Countries—Should Deposits in Banks Be Included in Estimating Amount of Money Which Affects Prices?

One of the numerous fallacies upon which the free silver delusion is founded is the assumption by all silverites and cheap money advocates that prices are regulated by the amount of money in circulation and that there is any necessary relation between prices and amount of money. When driven from one position the bimetallists take refuge in another just as insecure and illogical, but perhaps a little more hidden by sophistry. The more enlightened among them do not now assert that to double the amount of money is to double prices. They generally admit that the rapidity of circulation and the use of credits affect the efficiency of money and prevent an exact statement of the relation between amount of money and goods, but that more money undoubtedly means higher prices, and vice versa.

The per capita idea of money so prevalent with silverites is disproved in many ways. Statistics of prices and amounts of money per capita in use in different countries at the same or at different times fail to show any certain relation between prices and money. Thus, while prices have declined in the world and in this country very greatly since 1860 or 1862 or 1872, the amount of money in use has increased enormously. From a per capita circulation of \$100 in 1860, \$163 in 1862 and \$18.10 in 1872 we now have one of \$20, and this notwithstanding the greater rapidity of circulation of modern dollars and the vast improvement and extended use of credits. Will some "more money" advocate please explain this great fall of prices in connection with the great increase in per capita money?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

Again, the per capita circulation of the gold standard countries of the world is about \$18; that of the silver standard countries, only about \$1.20. With some of the silverite professors who are conducting the "national schools" in western and southern states, it is difficult to get them to believe that the value of money is more than the amount of money itself. Will they argue that gold has always been more valuable, weight for weight, than silver, and that it has recently become more valuable, weight for weight, than gold? Will they then explain that the value of both gold and silver bullion is fixed in the long run by the cost of production, and that this cost of production does not depend upon the quantity of any one metal?

## CITY COUNCIL.

Journal of the Proceedings—Adjourned Regular Session. (Official)

Council Chamber, City of Cape Girardeau, Monday Evening, January 17th, 1888.

The Council met in adjourned regular session, Mayor William H. Coover President presiding.

Members present—Messrs. Blomeyer, Dempsey, Klemm, Polack, Powers, Quisenberry, Unserstall.

Absent—Mr. Givens.

The Street and Wharf Committee presented the following report, which was read:

To the Honorable Mayor and Council:

Gentlemen—We, your Street and Wharf Committee, to whom was referred the petition of property owners asking that a sidewalk and curbing be constructed along the north side of Good Hope street, between Spring and Lorimer streets, with instructions to ascertain the grade where said sidewalk is to be constructed, beg leave to report that the City Engineer informs us that the present surface grade is the established grade.

Respectfully submitted,

Signed— THOMAS POWERS,  
AUGUST HEINSKAMP,  
FRANK UNNERSTALL.

Committee.

On motion of Mr. Blomeyer said report was received and ordered filed.

Mr. Blomeyer moved that the petition referred to in said report be received and prayer of petitioners granted, and the motion carried.

The following resolution was presented and read:

Resolved— That said Good Hope street from Sprig to Spanish street, the width and depth to be determined by the street and wharf committee, and to the end that said work may be done, the City Clerk is hereby instructed to publish an ordinance for two consecutive weeks in the newspaper doing the city news.

Consequently, this day, Good Hope street from Sprig to Spanish street, the width and depth to be determined by the street and wharf committee, and to the end that said work may be done, the City Clerk is hereby instructed to publish an ordinance for two consecutive weeks in the newspaper doing the city news.

Consequently, this day, Good Hope street from Sprig to Spanish street, the width and depth to be determined by the street and wharf committee, and to the end that said work may be done, the City Clerk is hereby instructed to publish an ordinance for two consecutive weeks in the newspaper doing the city news.

Consequently, this day, Good Hope street from Sprig to Spanish street, the width and depth to be determined by the street and wharf committee, and to the end that said work may be done, the City Clerk is hereby instructed to publish an ordinance for two consecutive weeks in the newspaper doing the city news.

Consequently, this day, Good Hope street from Sprig to Spanish street, the width and depth to be determined by the street and wharf committee, and to the end that said work may be done, the City Clerk is hereby instructed to publish an ordinance for two consecutive weeks in the newspaper doing the city news.

Consequently, this day, Good Hope street from Sprig to Spanish street, the width and depth to be determined by the street and wharf committee, and to the end that said work may be done, the City Clerk is hereby instructed to publish an ordinance for two consecutive weeks in the newspaper doing the city news.

Consequently, this day, Good Hope street from Sprig to Spanish street, the width and depth to be determined by the street and wharf committee, and